

In re: Oh et al.  
Serial No.: 10/622,915  
Filed: July 18, 2003  
Page 6 of 6


### REMARKS

In response to the Official Action of October 6, 2004, Applicants hereby elect Invention II, corresponding to Claims 1-12 and 27-31 drawn to methods. Applicants have canceled Invention I, corresponding to Claims 13-26 drawn to devices. This cancellation is being done without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these and/or other claims.

Applicants are not traversing the Restriction Requirement, because Applicants agree that a determination of the unpatentability of Invention II would not necessarily imply the unpatentability of Invention I.

The title has been changed to conform to the cancellation of the claims to Invention I. In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-12 and 27-31.

Respectfully submitted,




Robert W. Glatz  
Registration No. 36,811

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.  
P. O. Box 37428  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627  
Telephone: (919) 854-1400  
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401  
Customer No. 20792

#### Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 4, 2004.



Carey Gregory  
403377